

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/455,363	12/06/1999	KAZUAKI TSUCHIYA	ASA-838	5016
24956 75	90 09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	Y, STANGER, MALUR	PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2137	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/455,363	TSUCHIYA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Pyzocha	2137
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Apply be timely filed If HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 26-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 1.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand cition is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 26-31 are pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/01/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 26-27 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobbins et al (US 5485455) in view of Jain et al (US 6311218) and further in view of Inoue et al (US 6891819).

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 26 and 29, Dobbins et al discloses a network relaying method for a communication network system in which a plurality of network devices are coupled via a communication path, each network device including a network relaying device which is coupled via a plurality of I/O ports to a corresponding plurality of terminals (see column 7 line 60 through column 8 line 21), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a packet at a first I/O port from a source terminal coupled to the first I/O port, the packet including a header containing a packet transmission source address (see column 8 lines 30-34);

determining whether a combination of the first I/O port and the packet transmission source address coincides with a combination of an I/O port and a transmission source address that have been registered in advance with a correspondence there between (see column 8 lines 35-36);

when the determining step results in a determination that the combination of the first I/O port and the packet transmission source address coincides with a combination of an I/O port and transmission source address that have been registered in advance with a correspondence there between, transferring the packet received at the first I/O port via a second I/O port (see column 9 lines 38-46);

Art Unit: 2137

when the determining step results in a determination that the combination of the first I/O port and the packet transmission source address do not have a coincidence with a combination of an I/O port and transmission source address that have been registered in advance with a correspondence there between: limiting transfer of the received packet registering the first I/O port with a correspondence to the packet transmission source; and transferring the packet received at the first I/O port via the second I/O port (see column 8 line 37 through column 9 line 37 and claim 4).

Dobbins et al fails to disclose transmitting a request for user authentication of a user to the source terminal; receiving user authentication information sent from the source terminal in response to the request for user authentication; executing user authentication of the user based on the user authentication information thus received and based on the packet transmission source address.

However, Jain et al teaches such authentication (see column 5 line 21 through column 6 line 15).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to Jain et al's authentication method in Dobbins et al's connection method.

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363

Art Unit: 2137

Motivation to do so would have been to authenticate and unauthenticated port (see Jain et al column 5 lines 20-40).

The modified Dobbins et al and Jain et al system fails to explicitly disclose when the user is not authenticated, not transferring the packet.

However, Inoue et al teaches such a limitation (see column 12 lines 21-44).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the authentication processing steps of Inoue et al in the modified system of Dobbins et al and Jain et al.

Motivation to do so would have been to cope with a password guessing attack (see Inoue et al column 12 lines 21-44).

As per claims 27 and 30, the modified Dobbins et al, Jain et al and Inoue et al system discloses the authentication including a user name (see Jain et al column 5 lines 20-40), but fails to disclose a password. However Official Notice is take that at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a password for the authentication in the modified system. Motivation to do so would have been to provide authorized access to the system.

5. Claims 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over the modified Dobbins et al, Jain et al

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363 Page 6

Art Unit: 2137

and Inoue et al system as applied to claims 26 and 29 above, and further in view of Townsend et al (US 5661719).

As per claims 28 and 31, the modified Dobbins et al, Jain et al and Inoue et al system teaches the transmission source address includes a MAC address (see Dobbins et al column 9 lines 10-25).

The modified Dobbins et al, Jain et al and Inoue et al system fails to teach the transmission source address also includes an IP address.

However, Townsend et al teaches a transmission source address includes an IP and MAC address (see column 3 lines 13-24).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include both address in the modified Dobbins et al, Jain et al and Inoue et al system.

Motivation to do so would have been to have both the physical and logical address of the source (see Townsend et al column 3 lines 13-24).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that

Art Unit: 2137

Dobbins teaches away from a combination with Jain because Dobbins discards packets not stored in the database.

With respect to Applicant's argument, at the time of the invention one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the user authentication of Jain in the system of Dobbins. Dobbins teaches that when no connection is defined in the database (i.e. not registered in advanced) the switch determines when the originating computer is allowed to talk to the destination computer by applying, among other things, security constraints (see column 8 lines 30-43). Therefore the well-known security constraint of authentication taught by Jain would be applied at this time and Dobbins does not teach away from a combination with Jain. Furthermore, Jain was only relied upon for the teaching of transmitting a request for user authentication of a user to the source terminal; receiving user authentication information sent from the source terminal in response to the request for user authentication; executing user authentication of the user based on the user authentication information thus received and based on the packet transmission source address. Dobbins (and now further in view of Inoue) was relied upon for the remaining teachings the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363 Page 8

Art Unit: 2137

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kuroda et al (US 6421779) teaches the stopping of processing of information when a user is not authenticated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/455,363

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

MJP

EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER